



# Office of Thrift Supervision Cost of Funds



**REPORT**

1700 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20552  
www.ots.treas.gov

**Announcement Date**

**1/15/10**

Index	Index Period	Index Rate*	Announcement Date
National Monthly Median Cost of Funds Ratio for OTS Regulated Institutions**	November 2009	2.13%	1/15/10
Quarterly Average Cost of Funds for OTS Regulated Institutions	Jul-Sept 2009	1.94%	12/15/09
Semi-annual National Average Cost of Funds for OTS Regulated Institutions	January-June 2009	2.24%	09/15/09

*Note: The cost of funds indices are among those that federally chartered institutions may use in charging rates on adjustable-rate mortgage loans made in accordance with OTS Regulation 560.35.*

## National Average Cost of Funds Ratio for OTS Regulated Institutions

Announcement Date	Index Period	Index Rate%
<b>Semi-annual Period</b>		
Mar 15, 2007	Jul-Dec 2006	4.05
Sep 14, 2007	Jan-Jun 2007	4.07
Mar 14, 2008	Jul-Dec 2007	4.12
Sep 15, 2008	Jan-Jun 2008	3.31
Mar 16, 2009	Jul-Dec 2008	2.84
Sep 15, 2009	Jan-Jun 2009	2.24
<b>Quarterly Period</b>		
Mar 14, 2008	Oct-Dec 2007	4.06
June 16, 2008	Jan-Mar 2008	3.59
Sep 15, 2008	Apr-Jun 2008	3.04
Dec 15, 2008	Jul-Sept 2008	2.93
Mar 16, 2009	Oct-Dec 2008	2.75
June 15, 2009	Jan-Mar 2009	2.37
Sep 15, 2009	Apr-Jun 2009	2.11
Dec 15, 2009	Jul-Sept 2009	1.94

## National Monthly Median Cost of Funds Ratio for OTS Regulated Institutions

Announcement Date	Index Month	Index Rate%
September 15, 2008	July 2008	3.06
October 15, 2008	August 2008	3.02
November 14, 2008	September 2008	2.99
December 15, 2008	October 2008	2.95
January 15, 2009	November 2008	2.89
February 17, 2009	December 2008	2.86
March 16, 2009	January 2009	2.78
April 15, 2009	February 2009	2.68
May 15, 2009	March 2009	2.62
June 15, 2009	April 2009	2.54
July 15, 2009	May 2009	2.48
August 14, 2009	June 2009	2.43
September 15, 2009	July 2009	2.36
October 15, 2009	August 2009	2.33
November 16, 2009	September 2009	2.26
December 15, 2009	October 2009	2.17
January 15, 2010	November 2009	2.13

### Definitions and Footnotes

\*The average cost of funds ratio is defined as the sum of the period interest paid and accrued on deposits (excluding escrow deposits), FHLB advances, and other borrowings, divided by the average period balance of deposits (excluding escrow deposits), FHLB advances, and other borrowings. The median cost of funds is the midpoint value of individual institutions' cost of funds ratios, adjusted for variation in length of month. All series reflect the cost of funds for OTS Regulated, SAIF Insured Institutions required to file the monthly Cost of Funds reports.

\*\*The Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 created a single insurance fund by merging Savings Association Insurance Fund (SAIF) and Bank Insurance Fund (BIF), effective March 31, 2006, to be known as the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF). NOTE: Institutions designated as BIF insured prior to March 31, 2006, that were not required to file cost of funds reports will continue to be excluded from the calculation.

(1) Effective with the January 1999 index, the OTS is using a revised methodology that dampens significantly the month-to-month volatility in the index caused by the change in the number of days in the month. In addition to some other technical modifications, the new methodology assumes an average length of month of 30.4375 days, the average number of days in a month over a four-year period. The index value for January 1999 using the old methodology would have been 4.71%.

**OTS Regulated Institutions**  
**Cost of Funds / Average Savings and Borrowed Money (Annualized)**

Announcement Date	SEP 2009 12/15/09	JUN 2009 09/15/09	MAR 2009 06/15/09	DEC 2008 03/16/09	SEP 2008 12/15/08	JUN 2008 09/15/08	MAR 2008 06/16/08
<b>United States</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<b>2.11</b>	<b>2.37</b>	<b>2.75</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>3.04</b>	<b>3.59</b>
District 1: Boston	1.43	1.58	1.64	1.84	1.98	2.11	2.59
District 2: New York	1.69	1.80	2.01	2.40	2.46	2.64	3.56
District 3: Pittsburgh	2.12	2.24	2.57	2.91	2.97	2.97	3.48
District 4: Atlanta	1.64	1.89	2.31	2.86	3.19	3.52	3.95
District 5: Cincinnati	2.46	2.67	2.90	3.22	3.35	3.31	3.84
District 6: Indianapolis	2.94	3.08	3.21	3.47	3.48	3.63	3.97
District 7: Chicago	2.74	2.87	3.00	3.24	3.43	3.52	3.88
District 8: Des Moines	1.55	1.84	1.99	2.42	2.85	2.97	3.43
District 9: Dallas	1.66	1.92	2.11	2.45	2.50	2.53	3.17
District 10: Topeka	2.33	2.45	2.55	2.89	3.19	3.22	3.62
District 11: San Francisco	1.45	1.63	1.50	2.16	2.24	2.81	3.43
District 12: Seattle	2.20	2.31	2.36	2.92	3.15	3.38	3.70
Alabama	2.95	3.16	3.32	3.48	3.55	3.66	4.14
Alaska	1.05	1.28	1.32	1.57	1.77	1.98	2.33
Arizona	3.05	3.23	3.37	3.67	3.64	3.71	4.00
Arkansas	2.06	2.23	2.40	2.72	2.96	3.17	3.54
California	2.38	2.61	2.80	2.96	3.16	3.75	4.35
Colorado	1.50	1.61	2.52	1.89	2.02	2.10	2.49
Connecticut	1.21	1.32	1.37	1.54	1.69	1.83	2.23
Delaware	2.12	2.21	2.66	3.23	3.28	3.23	3.85
District of Columbia	2.11	2.68	2.51	2.87	2.78	2.90	3.07
Florida	1.49	1.83	2.52	2.82	2.99	3.10	3.72
Georgia	2.47	2.46	2.46	3.02	3.08	3.44	3.82
Guam	0.70	0.82	0.88	1.02	1.16	1.32	1.71
Hawaii	0.99	1.19	1.36	1.54	1.63	2.29	2.43
Idaho	1.95	2.10	2.22	2.52	2.66	2.83	3.15
Illinois	2.79	2.98	3.14	3.41	3.60	3.68	4.02
Indiana	2.23	2.38	2.50	2.77	2.89	3.01	3.38
Iowa	1.67	1.86	2.16	2.55	2.73	2.82	3.23
Kansas	2.84	2.89	3.00	3.32	3.48	3.56	3.91
Kentucky	2.64	2.78	2.87	3.08	3.23	3.36	3.72
Louisiana	2.12	2.26	2.39	2.63	2.82	2.95	3.23
Maine	2.35	2.49	2.67	2.92	3.04	3.22	3.50
Maryland	2.51	2.71	2.92	3.22	3.26	3.45	3.85
Massachusetts	2.13	2.33	2.48	2.73	2.82	2.94	3.23
Michigan	3.27	3.41	3.56	3.84	3.78	3.95	4.25
Minnesota	1.69	2.49	2.63	2.94	3.08	3.29	3.66
Mississippi	3.07	3.15	3.29	3.53	3.57	3.79	4.00
Missouri	1.33	1.52	1.64	2.12	2.94	3.04	3.59
Montana	2.02	2.15	2.25	2.49	2.52	2.62	2.83
Nebraska	1.93	2.13	2.36	2.66	3.26	3.13	3.63
Nevada	0.47	0.48	0.39	0.74	0.72	2.60	3.23
New Hampshire	1.71	1.90	2.03	2.22	2.34	2.55	2.85
New Jersey	2.08	2.09	2.21	2.51	2.71	2.82	3.21
New Mexico	2.60	2.83	2.98	3.17	3.31	3.50	3.78
New York	1.58	1.72	1.96	2.37	2.38	2.59	3.67
North Carolina	2.25	2.41	2.67	2.97	3.08	3.27	3.73
North Dakota	1.58	1.65	1.79	2.04	2.17	2.36	2.93
Ohio	2.49	2.71	2.95	3.27	3.41	3.33	3.89
Oklahoma	2.21	2.38	2.49	2.69	2.79	2.97	3.35
Oregon	1.63	1.78	2.01	2.32	2.37	2.63	2.78
Pennsylvania	2.12	2.26	2.47	2.58	2.65	2.71	3.11
Puerto Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rhode Island	2.38	2.73	2.88	3.46	3.49	3.23	3.92
South Carolina	2.13	2.26	2.50	2.88	3.06	3.18	3.62
South Dakota	2.00	2.03	2.10	2.35	2.59	2.64	3.06
Tennessee	2.06	2.25	2.45	2.74	2.88	3.06	3.40
Texas	1.54	1.84	2.04	2.39	2.41	2.42	3.12
Utah	2.34	2.39	2.28	3.17	3.47	3.60	4.01
Vermont	1.71	1.98	2.10	2.33	2.51	2.59	3.03
Virgin Islands	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Virginia	1.28	1.50	1.93	2.82	3.24	3.65	4.02
Washington	2.54	2.71	2.96	3.22	3.46	3.71	4.09
West Virginia	2.36	2.56	2.75	2.93	2.98	3.12	3.34
Wisconsin	2.67	2.71	2.77	2.97	3.14	3.25	3.64
Wyoming	1.82	1.92	2.06	2.37	2.53	2.79	3.13

Ratios are calculated using merger adjusted data. Beginning September 1988, includes estimated data for associations acquired by purchase. Beginning with June 1996, Cost of Funds indices are reported on a consolidated basis. There were no OTS regulated thrift institutions in Puerto Rico as of September 1998. There were no OTS regulated institutions in the Virgin Islands as of October 2000.