

**FILED**

AUG 11 2005

CAROL ANNE FLORES, CLERK  
COURT OF APPEALS  
NINTH DISTRICT  
Beaumont, Texas

In The

*Court of Appeals*

*Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont*

---

NO. 09-05-223 CV

---

IN RE POPULAR LEASING USA, INC.

---

Original Proceeding

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

In this original proceeding, relator, Popular Leasing USA, Inc., seeks mandamus relief from the respondent's May 12, 2005, order denying relator's motion to dismiss. In the underlying class action lawsuit, the real party in interest, Party Props, Inc., and three other plaintiffs, sued relator and three other defendants under a number of theories including rescission of certain equipment rental leases, unreasonable debt collection practices, injunctive relief, and declaratory judgment. Both relator and Party Props, Inc. agree that all of the other plaintiffs and defendants have either settled their respective claims, or have agreed to transfer venue of said claims.

Party Props, Inc.'s pleadings allege, *inter alia*, that the equipment subject to the equipment rental agreement in question never worked; that relator was aware of relator's assignor's (Norvergence) fraudulent misrepresentation to Party Props, Inc. that the leased equipment would work; that in July of 2004, relator's assignor sought Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection of which relator was fully aware; and that the forum selection clause contained in the equipment rental agreement in question is unenforceable because it does not provide for a specific venue should either party wish to litigate any issue relating to the equipment rental agreement. Invoking the forum selection clause in the equipment rental agreement, relator filed its motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Respondent later issued his order denying relator's motion to dismiss.

A writ of mandamus will issue to correct a clear abuse of discretion when there is no other adequate remedy at law. *See In re FirstMerit Bank, N.A.*, 52 S.W.3d 749, 753 (Tex. 2001) (orig. proceeding). The trial court abuses its discretion if it reaches a decision so arbitrary and unreasonable as to amount to a clear and prejudicial error of law. *See Walker v. Packer*, 827 S.W.2d 833, 839 (Tex. 1992) (orig. proceeding). When the trial court's decision rests on resolution of fact issues, the party opposing the trial court's decision must establish that the court could reasonably have reached only one decision. *Id.* at 840. We find the relator has not demonstrated that the respondent abused his

discretion, nor has relator shown that it is entitled to the relief sought. Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is denied.

WRIT DENIED.

PER CURIAM

Submitted on June 6, 2005  
Opinion Delivered August 11, 2005

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger and Horton, JJ.



RECEIVED

AUG 12 2005

BENCKENSTEIN &  
OXFORD, LLP

CHIEF JUSTICE  
STEVE MCKEITHEN

JUSTICES  
DAVID B. GAULTNEY  
CHARLES KREGER  
HOLLIS HORTON

Court of Appeals  
State of Texas  
Ninth District

CLERK  
CAROL ANNE FLORES

OFFICE  
SUITE 330  
1001 PEARL ST.  
BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77701  
409/835-8402 FAX 409/835-8497

August 11, 2005

John R. Jones  
Delgado, Acosta, Braden & Jones  
9600 Long Point, Suite 301  
Houston, TX 77055

Clifford Bowie Husted  
Strasburger & Price, LLP  
1401 McKinney St, Ste 2200  
Houston, TX 77010

Hubert Oxford IV  
Benckenstein Oxford  
3535 Calder, Third Floor  
Beaumont, TX 77706

Kenneth M. Stillman  
3524 Fairmount St  
Dallas, TX 75219

Timothy D. Howell  
Cantey & Hanger  
Burnett Plaza, Suite 2100  
801 Cherry Street  
Fort Worth, TX 76102

**RE:** Case Number: 09-05-00223-CV  
Trial Court Case Number: A-173174

**Style:** In Re Popular Leasing USA, Inc.

Enclosed is a copy of the Court's Memorandum Opinion issued this date in the above styled and numbered cause. The petition for writ of mandamus is denied.

Also, enclosed is a copy of the Court's judgment.

Sincerely,

CAROL ANNE FLORES, CLERK

CC: Judge James W. Mehaffy Jr

**COURT OF APPEALS  
NINTH DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF TEXAS**  
1001 Pearl, Suite 330  
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Judgment entered August 11, 2005


No. 09-05-00223-CV

In Re Popular Leasing USA, Inc.

Original Proceeding

Per Curiam

The Relator, Popular Leasing USA, Inc., filed a petition for writ of mandamus in the above styled and numbered cause and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this Court that the same be and is hereby DENIED. A copy of this judgment shall be certified below for observance.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'CAF', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Carol Anne Flores  
Clerk of the Court