MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006

Section 1: (FORM 10-Q DATED 03/31/2006 FOR MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

 \checkmark

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2006

Commission file number 000-50448

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania (State of incorporation) 38-3686388 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

300 Fellowship Road, Mount Laurel, NJ 08054 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(888) 479-9111

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \square No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and a large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer \Box Accelerated filer \boxdot Non-accelerated filer \Box

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes \square No \square

At April 14, 2006, 11,838,787 shares of Registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value, were outstanding.

MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I — Financial Information Item 1 Financial Statements Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005 Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three-months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity at March 31, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three-months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk Item 4 Controls and Procedures Part II — Other Information Item 1 Legal Proceedings Item 1A Risk Factors Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds Item 3 Defaults upon Senior Securities Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Item 5 Other Information Item 6 Exhibits Signatures Certifications RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION OF CEO RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER RULE 13a-14(b) CERTIFICATION OF CEO & PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER

- 2 -

31

PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands)

	 March 31, 2006 (unaudited)	De	cember 31, 2005
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Net investment in direct financing leases Property and equipment, net Property tax receivables Fair value of cash flow hedges Other assets	\$ 4,929 52,987 588,644 3,618 7,347 4,948 7,822	\$	34,472 47,786 572,581 3,776 191 3,383 8,800
Total assets	\$ 670,295	\$	670,989
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity Revolving and term secured borrowings Other liabilities: Sales and property taxes payable Accounts payable and accrued expenses Deferred income tax liability Total liabilities Commitments and Contingencies	\$ 504,459 14,216 7,122 25,307 551,104	\$	516,849 7,702 8,467 25,362 558,380
 Stockholders' equity: Common Stock, \$0.01 par value; 75,000 shares authorized; 11,830 and 11,755 shares issued and outstanding, respectively Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding Additional paid-in capital Stock subscription receivable Cumulative other comprehensive income Retained earnings 	 118 78,332 (24) 4,220 36,545		117 77,186 (25) 3,520 31,811
Total stockholders' equity	 119,191		112,609
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 670,295	\$	670,989

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

- 3 -

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except share amounts)

(unaudited)

	Three-months E	nded March 31,
	2006	2005
Income: Interest income Fee income	\$ 17,819 4,907	\$
Interest and fee income Interest expense	22,726 5,495	20,162 4,492
Net interest and fee income Provision for credit losses	17,231 2,415	15,670 2,680
Net interest and fee income after provision for credit losses Insurance and other income	14,816 1,355	12,990 1,171
Operating income	16,171	14,161
Salaries and benefits General and administrative Financing related costs	5,145 2,746 455	4,433 2,826 373
Income before income taxes Income taxes	7,825 3,091	6,529 2,580
Net income	<u>\$ 4,734</u>	<u>\$3,949</u>
Basic earnings per share:	\$ 0.40	<u>\$0.34</u>
Diluted earnings per share:	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.33
Shares used in computing basic earnings per share:	11,702,161	11,451,551
Shares used in computing diluted earnings per share:	12,042,436	11,842,236

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

- 4 -

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (in thousands, except share amounts)

(unaudited)

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Other Comprehensive Income	Retained Earnings	Total Shareholders Equity
Balance, December 31, 2004	11,527,697	\$ 115	\$ 74,352	\$ (54)	\$ 374	\$ 15,563	\$ 90,350
Issuance of common stock	19,792	·	356	· · · · ·	_		356
Exercise of stock options	147,591	1	594	_	_	_	595
Tax benefit on stock options exercised	· _		972	_	_	_	972
Payment of receivables	_		_	29	_	_	29
Restricted stock grant	60,145	1	(168)	_	_	_	(167)
Amortization of deferred compensation	· _		1,080	_	_	_	1,080
Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges, net of							
tax	_	_	_	_	3,146	_	3,146
Net income						16,248	16,248
Balance, December 31, 2005	11,755,225	117	77,186	(25)	3,520	31,811	112,609
Issuance of common stock	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Exercise of stock options	68,002	1	182	_	_	_	183
Tax benefit on stock options exercised	· _		533	_	_	_	533
Stock option compensation recognized	_		184	_	_	_	184
Payment of receivables	_	_	_	1	_	_	1
Restricted stock grant	6,273	_	(15)	_	_	_	(15)
Amortization of deferred compensation	—		262	—	—	—	262
Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges, net of							
tax	—		—	—	700	—	700
Net income						4,734	4,734
Balance, March 31, 2006	11,829,500	<u>\$ 118</u>	\$ 78,332	<u>\$ (24)</u>	\$ 4,220	\$ 36,545	\$ 119,191

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

- 5 -

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Three-mont	hs Ended March 31.
	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:	\$ 4,734	\$ 3,949
Depreciation amortization Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements Amortization of deferred gain on cash flow hedge Provision for credit losses Deferred taxes Amortization deferred initial direct costs and fees Deferred initial direct costs and fees Effect of changes in other operating items: Other assets Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,126 (533) (402) 2,415 (518) 3,115 (4,089) (6,064) 5,189	1,024
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,973	11,250
Cash flows from investing activities: Gross equipment purchased for direct financing lease contracts Principal collections on lease finance receivables Security deposits collected, net of returns Acquisitions of property and equipment Change in restricted cash	(82,049) 64,799 (251) (141) (5,201)	(77,321) 53,581 318 (488) (8,976)
Net cash used in investing activities	(22,843)	(32,886)
Cash flows from financing activities: Issuances of common stock, net of terminations Exercise of stock options Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements Term securitization repayments Secured bank facility advances Secured bank facility repayments Warehouse advances Warehouse repayments Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	1 183 533 (65,958) 26,190 (26,190) 55,560 (1,992) (11,673)	10 127 (53,004) 4,356 (4,356) 66,454 (2,046) 11,541
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	(29,543) 34,472	(10,095) 16,092
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 4,929</u>	\$ 5,997
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: Cash paid for interest Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 5,477 2,246	\$ 3,825 185

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

- 6 -

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 — Organization

Description

Marlin Leasing Corporation provides equipment leasing solutions primarily to small businesses nationwide in a segment of the equipment leasing market commonly referred to as the "small-ticket" segment. The Company finances over 60 categories of commercial equipment important to its end user customers including copiers, telephone systems, computers and certain commercial and industrial equipment. Marlin Leasing Corporation is managed as a single business segment.

In November 2003, Marlin Leasing Corporation merged into a wholly owned subsidiary of Marlin Business Services Corp., a Pennsylvania business corporation, as part of a corporate reorganization in connection with our initial public offering. Marlin Leasing Corporation is the principal operating subsidiary of Marlin Business Services Corp.

References to the "Company", "we", "us", and "our" herein refer to Marlin Business Services Corp. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

NOTE 2 — Basis of Financial Statement Presentation and Critical Accounting Policies

In the opinion of the management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position at March 31, 2006 and the results of operations for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and note disclosures included in the Company's Form 10-K/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2006. The consolidated results of operations for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the respective full years. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used when accounting for income recognition, the residual values of leased equipment, the allowance for credit losses, deferred initial direct costs and fees, late fee receivables and income taxes. Actual results could differ from estimates.

Income recognition. Interest income is recognized under the effective interest method. The effective interest method of income recognition applies a constant rate of interest equal to the internal rate of return on the lease. When a lease is 90 days or more delinquent, the lease is classified as being on non-accrual and we do not recognize interest income on that lease until the lease is less than 90 days delinquent.

Fee income consists of fees for delinquent lease payments and cash collected on early termination of leases. Fee income also includes net residual income which includes income from lease renewals and gains and losses on the realization of residual values of equipment disposed of at the end of term.

Fee income from delinquent lease payments is recognized on the accrual basis. Other fees are recognized when received. Net residual income includes charges for the reduction in estimated residual values on equipment for leases in renewal and is recognized during the renewal period. Residual balances at lease termination which remain uncollected more than 120 days are charged against income.

Insurance income is recognized on an accrual basis as earned over the term of the lease. Payments that are 120 days or more past due are charged against income. Ceding commissions, losses and loss adjustment expenses are recorded in the period incurred and netted against insurance income.

- 7 -

Initial direct costs and fees. We defer initial direct costs incurred and fees received to originate our leases in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 91, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases.* The initial direct costs and fees we defer are part of the net investment in direct financing leases and are amortized to interest income using the effective interest method. We defer third party commission costs as well as certain internal costs directly related to the origination activity. The costs include evaluating the prospective lessee's financial condition, evaluating and recording guarantees and other security arrangements, negotiating lease terms, preparing and processing lease documents and closing the transaction. The fees we defer are documentation fees collected at lease inception. The realization of the deferred initial direct costs, net of fees deferred, is predicated on the net future cash flows generated by our lease portfolio.

Lease residual values. A direct financing lease is recorded at the aggregate future minimum lease payments plus the estimated residual values less unearned income. Residual values reflect the estimated amounts to be received at lease termination from lease extensions, sales or other dispositions of leased equipment. These estimates are based on industry data and on our experience. Management performs periodic reviews of the estimated residual values and any impairment, if other than temporary, is recognized in the current period.

Allowance for credit losses. We maintain an allowance for credit losses at an amount sufficient to absorb losses inherent in our existing lease portfolio as of the reporting dates based on our projection of probable net credit losses. To project probable net credit losses, we perform a migration analysis of delinquent and current accounts. A migration analysis is a technique used to estimate the likelihood that an account will progress through the various delinquency stages and ultimately charge off. In addition to the migration analysis, we also consider other factors including recent trends in delinquencies and charge-offs; accounts filing for bankruptcy; recovered amounts; forecasting uncertainties; the composition of our lease portfolio; economic conditions; and seasonality. We then establish an allowance for credit losses for the projected probable net credit losses based on this analysis. A provision is charged against earnings to maintain the allowance for credit losses at the appropriate level. Our policy is to charge-off against the allowance the estimated unrecoverable portion of accounts once they reach 121 days delinquent.

Our projections of probable net credit losses are inherently uncertain, and as a result we cannot predict with certainty the amount of such losses. Changes in economic conditions, the risk characteristics and composition of the portfolio, bankruptcy laws, and other factors could impact our actual and projected net credit losses and the related allowance for credit losses. To the degree we add new leases to our portfolio, or to the degree credit quality is worse than expected, we will record expense to increase the allowance for credit losses for the estimated net losses expected in our lease portfolio.

Securitizations. Since inception, the Company has completed seven term note securitizations of which four have been repaid. In connection with each transaction, the Company has established a bankruptcy remote special-purpose subsidiary and issued term debt to institutional investors. Under SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, a replacement of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement 125, the Company's securitizations do not qualify for sales accounting treatment due to certain call provisions that the Company maintains as well as the fact that the special purpose entities used in connection with the securitizations also hold the residual assets. Accordingly, assets and related debt of the special purpose entities are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Company's leases and restricted cash are assigned as collateral for these borrowings and there is no further recourse to the general credit of the Company. Collateral in excess of these borrowings represents the Company's maximum loss exposure.

Derivatives. SFAS 133, as amended, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, requires recognition of all derivatives at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. The accounting for subsequent changes in the fair value of these derivatives depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies for hedge accounting treatment pursuant to the accounting standard. For derivatives not designated or qualifying for hedge accounting, the related gain or loss is recognized in earnings for each period and included in other income or financing related costs in the consolidated statement of operations. For derivatives designated for hedge accounting, initial assessments are made as to whether the hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective and on-going periodic assessments may be required to determine the on-going effectiveness of the hedge. The gain or loss on derivatives qualifying for hedge accounting is recorded in other comprehensive income on the balance sheet net of tax effects (unrealized gain or loss on cash flow hedges) or in current period earnings depending on the effectiveness of the hedging relationship.

Stock-Based Compensation. In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. SFAS 123(R) amended SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and superseded Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 107 to provide guidance on the valuation of share-based payments for public companies. SFAS 123(R) requires companies to recognize all share-

- 8 -

based payments, which include stock options and restricted stock, in compensation expense over the service period of the share-based payment award. SFAS 123(R) establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment arrangements and requires all entities to apply a fair-value-based measurement method in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees, except for equity instruments held by employee share ownership plans.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized over the service period for all awards granted subsequent to the Company's adoption of 123(R) as well as for the unvested portions of awards outstanding as of the Company's adoption of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, results for prior periods have not been restated.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company applied the recognition and measurement principles of APB 25 as allowed by SFAS 123 and SFAS 148, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure*. Accordingly, no stock-based compensation was recognized in net income for stock options granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant and the related number of options granted were fixed at that point in time.

Income taxes. Significant management judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any necessary valuation allowance recorded against net deferred tax assets. The process involves summarizing temporary differences resulting from the different treatment of items, for example, leases for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within the consolidated balance sheet. Our management must then assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income or tax carry-back availability and, to the extent our management believes recovery is not likely, a valuation allowance must be established. To the extent that we establish a valuation allowance in a period, an expense must be recorded within the tax provision in the statement of operations. We currently are in a net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") position for state and federal income tax purposes. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 contains provisions that may limit the NOLs available to be used in any given year upon the occurrence of certain events, including significant changes in ownership interest. A change in the ownership of a company greater than 50% within a three-year period results in an annual limitation on a company's ability to utilize its NOLs from tax periods prior to the ownership change. Management believes that the corporate reorganization and initial public offering in November 2003 did not have a material effect on its ability to utilize these NOLs. No valuation allowance has been established against net deferred tax assets related to our NOLs, as our management believes these estimates or these estimates are adjusted in future periods, we may need to establish a valuation allowance, which could materially impact its financial position and results of operations.

NOTE 3 — Net Investment in Direct Financing Leases

Net investment in direct financing leases consists of the following:

	March 31, 2006	De	ecember 31, 2005
	(dollars ir	า t <mark>hou</mark> ร	ands)
Minimum lease payments receivable	\$ 678,755	\$	660,946
Estimated residual value of equipment	45,123		44,279
Unearned lease income, net of initial direct costs and fees deferred	(108,834)		(106,083)
Security deposits	(18,496)		(18,748)
Allowance for credit losses	(7,904)		(7,813)
	<u>\$ 588,644</u>	\$	572,581

Substantially all of the Company's leases are assigned as collateral for borrowings.

Initial direct costs net of fees deferred were \$19.3 million and \$18.4 million as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, and are netted in unearned income and will be amortized to income using the level yield method. At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, \$31.1 million and \$30.3 million, respectively, of residual assets retained on our balance sheet were related to copiers. Minimum lease payments receivable under lease contracts and the amortization of unearned lease income, net of initial direct costs and fees deferred, is as follows as of March 31, 2006:

- 9 -

MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006 Table of Contents

Period Ending December 31:	Minimum lease payments receivable March 31, 2006	Income Amortization March 31, 2006	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Thereafter	(dollars in thousands) \$ 211,538 220,631 142,096 72,371 29,985 2,134	\$	44,969 37,070 18,155 7,120 1,479 41
	<u>\$ 678,755</u>	\$	108,834

NOTE 4 — Derivative Financial Instruments

We use derivative financial instruments to manage exposure to the effects of changes in market interest rates and to fulfill certain covenants in our borrowing arrangements. All derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value as either assets or liabilities. Accounting for the changes in fair value of derivatives depends on whether the derivative has been designated and qualifies for hedge accounting treatment pursuant to SFAS 133, as amended, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

In June and September 2005, the Company entered forward starting interest rate swap agreements with total underlying notional amounts of \$225.0 million to commence in September 2006 related to its forecasted 2006 term note securitization transaction. These interest rate swap agreements are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair values of \$3.8 million and \$2.3 million as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedges with unrealized gains recorded in the equity section of the balance sheet of approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.4 million, net of tax, as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company expects to terminate these agreements simultaneously with the pricing of its 2006 term securitization with any of the unrecognized gains or losses amortized to interest expense over the term of the related borrowing.

In October and December 2004, the Company had entered into similar forward starting interest rate swap agreements with total underlying notional amounts of \$250.0 million to commence in August 2005 related to its 2005 term note securitization transaction. The Company terminated these agreements simultaneously with the pricing of its 2005 term securitization issued on August 11, 2005 and is amortizing the realized gains of \$3.2 million to interest expense over the term of the related borrowing. These interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedges with the gains realized deferred and recorded in the equity section of the balance sheet at approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million, net of tax, as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company amortized \$402,000 and \$687,000, respectively, of deferred gains to lower interest expense of the related 2005 term securitization borrowing. The Company expects to reclassify \$702,000, net of tax, into earnings over the next twelve months.

We issued a term note securitization on July 22, 2004 where certain classes of notes were issued at variable rates to investors. We simultaneously entered into interest rate swap contracts to convert these borrowings to a fixed interest cost to the Company for the term of the borrowing. As of March 31, 2006, we had interest rate swap agreements related to these transactions with underlying notional amounts of \$65.5 million. These interest rate swap agreements are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair values of \$1.1 million and \$1.1 million as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedges with unrealized gains recorded in the equity section of the balance sheet of approximately \$689,000 and \$652,000, net of tax, as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The ineffectiveness related to these interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges was not material for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006.

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized a net loss of \$9,000 and \$12,000, respectively in other financing related costs related to the fair values of the interest rate swaps that did not qualify for hedge accounting. As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had interest rate swap agreements related to non-hedge accounting transactions with underlying notional amounts of \$1.7 million and \$512,000, respectively. These interest rate swap agreements are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet at a fair value of \$67,000 and \$76,000 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. This derivative is also related to the 2004 term securitization and is intended to offset certain prepayment risks in the lease portfolio pledged in the 2004 term securitization.

MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006 Table of Contents

The Company also uses interest-rate cap agreements that are not designated for hedge accounting treatment to fulfill certain covenants in our warehouse borrowing arrangements. Accordingly, these cap agreements are recorded at fair value in other assets at \$548,000 and \$103,000 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Changes in the fair values of the caps are recorded in financing related costs in the accompanying statements of operations. The notional amount of interest rate caps owned as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was \$142.0 million and \$155.1 million, respectively. The Company also sells interest rate caps to generate premium revenues to partially offset the premium cost of purchasing its required interest rate caps. As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the notional amount of interest-rate cap sold agreements totaled \$62.3 million and \$64.6 million, respectively. The fair value of interest-rate caps sold is recorded in other liabilities at \$525,000 and \$81,000 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

NOTE 5 — Other Comprehensive Income

The following table details the components of other comprehensive income.

		onths Ended rch 31,
	2006	2005
Net income, as reported	(dollars in \$ 4,734	1 thousands) \$ 3,949
Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges Tax effect	1,163 (463)	3,619 (1,441)
Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges, net of tax	700	2,178
Comprehensive income	\$ 5,434	<u>\$6,127</u>

NOTE 6 — Earnings Per Common Share

Earnings per common share ("EPS") was calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three-mon Marc	
Net income	2006 \$ 4,734	2005 \$ 3,949
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing basic EPS Effect of dilutive securities: Stock options, restricted stock and warrants	11,702 340	11,452
Adjusted weighted average common shares used in computing diluted EPS	12,042	11,842
Net earnings per common share: Basic Diluted	\$ 0.40 \$ 0.39	\$ 0.34 \$ 0.33

The shares used in computing diluted earnings per share exclude options to purchase 130,029 and 142,811 shares of common stock for the three-months ended March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, respectively, as inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

- 11 -

NOTE 7 — Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. SFAS 123(R) amended SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* and superseded Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 107 to provide guidance on the valuation of share-based payments for public companies. SFAS 123(R) requires companies to recognize all share-based payments, which include stock options and restricted stock, in compensation expense over the service period of the share-based payment award. SFAS 123(R) establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees, except for equity instruments held by employee share ownership plans.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized over the service period for all awards granted subsequent to the Company's adoption of 123(R) as well as for the unvested portions of awards outstanding as of the Company's adoption of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, results for prior periods have not been restated.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company applied the recognition and measurement principles of APB 25 as allowed by SFAS 123 and SFAS 148, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure*. Accordingly, no stock-based compensation was recognized in net income for stock options granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant and the related number of options granted were fixed at that point in time. The following table presents the pro forma impact on earnings and earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2005 if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS 148 follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	m E N	hree- oonths Ended March 31, 2005
Net income attributable to common stockholders as reported Add: stock-based employee compensation expense included in net income, net of tax Deduct: total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards, net of tax	\$	3,949 117 (207)
Pro forma net income attributable to common stockholders	\$	3,859
Basic net income per share attributable to common stockholders: As reported Adjusted Diluted net income per share attributable to common stockholders: As reported Adjusted	\$ \$	0.34 0.34 0.33 0.33

In the first quarter of 2006, the adoption of SFAS 123(R) resulted in incremental stock-based compensation expense of \$184,000. The incremental stock-based compensation expense caused income before income taxes to decrease by \$184,000, net income to decrease by \$111,000, and basic and diluted earnings per share to decrease by \$0.01 per share respectively. Cash provided by operating activities decreased and cash provided by financing activities increased by \$533,000 related to excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements.

Under the terms of the Marlin Business Services Corp. 2003 Equity Compensation Plan (as amended, the "2003 Plan"), employees, certain consultants and advisors, and non-employee members of the Company's board of directors have the opportunity to receive incentive and nonqualified grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and other equity-based awards as approved by the board. The aggregate number of shares under the 2003 Plan that may be issued pursuant to stock options or restricted stock grants is 2,100,000. Stock options issued generally vest over four years though some vest over eight years but may accelerate if certain performance measures are obtained. All options expire not more than ten years after the date of grant.

- 12 -

On March 28, 2006, the Company issued 87,477 stock options to employees under the 2003 Plan at a strike price equal to the fair market value of the common stock of \$21.60 at date of issuance. Of the options granted, 34,983 stock options have a seven year term and a four year vesting provision. The remaining 52,494 options have a seven year term and a four year cliff vest provision based on performance targets. The Company is expensing the performance options based on the most probable performance target as of March 31, 2006. The Company recognized \$1,000 of compensation expense related to both option grants for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

On January 11, 2005, the Company issued 103,960 stock options to employees under the 2003 Plan at a strike price equal to the fair market value of the common stock of \$17.52 at date of issuance. During the remainder of the year, the Company issued an additional 10,000 stock options to employees under the 2003 Plan at a strike price equal to the fair market value of the common stock averaging \$21.22 as of the date of issuance. These options have a seven year term and a four year vesting provision. The Company also issued 5,805 stock options during the second quarter of 2005 to non-employee independent directors at a strike price equal to the fair market value of the common stock of \$19.78. These options have a seven year term and vest one year from the date of grant. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the Company recognized \$183,000, or \$110,000 net of tax, of compensation expense related to options issued prior to 2006.

The fair value of the stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The weighted average fair value of stock options issued with an exercise price equal to the market price of the stock at the grant date for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$8.56 and \$6.47 per share, respectively. The following weighted average assumptions were used for valuing options grants made during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

		s Ended March 31,
	2006	2005
Risk-free interest rate	4.79%	3.71%
Expected life (years)	5	5
Expected volatility	35%	35%
Expected dividends	_	_
Estimated forfeitures	_	N/A

The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected life for options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2006 represents the period the option is expected to be outstanding and was determined by applying the simplified method as allowed under SAB 107. The expected life for options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2005 was based on the average vesting period and the average contractual life with the weighting toward the vesting period based on historical data of option exercises. The expected volatility was determined using historical volatilities based on historical stock prices. The Company does not grant dividends therefore, there are no expected dividends. The Company believes it does not have adequate historical data to estimate forfeitures as of March 31, 2006.

A summary of option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2006 follows:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	1,002,258	\$ 9.56
Granted	87,477	21.60
Exercised	(68,002)	2.37
Forfeited	(33,955)	16.02
Outstanding at March 31, 2006	987,778	\$ 10.88

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, the total pre-tax intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$1.3 million and the tax benefits realized from the exercise of the stock options was \$533,000. The fair value of stock options vested during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$312,000.

The following table summarizes information about the stock options outstanding and exercisable as of March 31, 2006:

	Options Outsta	anding			0	ptions Exercisab	le
Range of	Number	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Weighted Average Exercise	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in	Number	Weighted Average Exercise	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in
Exercise Prices	Outstanding	(Years)	Price	thousands)	Exercisable	Price	thousands)
\$1.90 - 3.39	281,239	4.85	\$ 3.09	\$ 5,346	266,014	\$ 3.07	\$ 5,062
4.23 - 5.01	115,541	3.99	4.40	2,045	115,541	4.40	2,045
10.18	164,535	5.66	10.18	1,961	159,697	10.18	1,904
14.00-16.02	114,125	7.82	14.64	852	53,138	14.26	417
17.52-22.25	312,338	6.90	19.29	878	33,944	17.87	143
	987,778	5.87	\$ 10.88	\$ 11,082	628,334	\$ 6.87	\$ 9,571

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$22.10 as of March 31, 2006, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date.

As of March 31, 2006, the total future compensation cost related to non-vested stock options not yet recognized in the income statement was \$2.2 million and the weighted average period over which these awards are expected to be recognized was 3.81 years.

On March 28, 2006, the Company issued 3,423 shares of restricted stock to employees under the 2003 Plan. The restricted shares vest in seven years but may be accelerated if certain performance measures are achieved. The Company recorded deferred compensation of approximately \$74,000 based on a stock price of \$21.60 at the time of issuance. As vesting occurs, or is deemed likely to occur, compensation expense is recognized and deferred compensation reduced on the balance sheet. The Company recognized \$152 of compensation expense related to this restricted stock for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Also on March 28, 2006, the Company issued another 5,612 shares of restricted stock through a management stock ownership program. Restrictions on the shares lapse at the end of 10 years but may lapse (vest) in as little as three years if the employee remains employed at the Company and holds a matching number of other common shares in addition to these restricted shares. The Company recorded deferred compensation of approximately \$121,000 based on a stock price of \$21.60 at the time of issuance. As vesting occurs, or is deemed likely to occur, compensation expense is recognized and deferred compensation reduced on the balance sheet. The Company recognized \$326 of compensation expense related to this restricted stock for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

On January 11, 2005, the Company issued 55,384 shares of restricted stock under the 2003 Plan. An additional 6,393 shares were issued during the first quarter of 2005 and 2,500 shares were issued during the third quarter of 2005 under the 2003 Plan. The restricted shares vest in seven years but may be accelerated if certain performance measures are achieved. The Company recorded deferred compensation of approximately \$1,143,000 based on stock prices of \$17.52, \$18.30 and an average of \$22.23, respectively, at the issuance dates. As vesting occurs, or is deemed likely to occur, compensation expense is recognized and deferred compensation reduced on the balance sheet. The Company recognized \$58,000 and \$61,000, or \$35,000 and \$37,000 net of tax, of compensation expense related to this restricted stock for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Also in 2005, the Company issued another 13,101 shares of restricted stock primarily through a management stock ownership program. Restrictions on the shares lapse at the end of 10 years but may lapse (vest) in as little as three years if the employee remains employed at the Company and holds a matching number of other common shares in addition to these restricted shares. As the shares were issued at various dates, the Company has recorded deferred compensation of approximately \$239,000 with an average stock price of \$18.23 for all shares issued. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, \$16,000 and \$23,000, or \$10,000 and \$14,000 net of tax, respectively, of compensation expense was recognized related to this restricted stock.

On May 26, 2005, the Company issued 6,825 shares of restricted stock to non-employee independent directors. The restricted shares vest at the earlier of seven years from the grant date or six months following the director's termination of Board service. The Company recorded deferred compensation of approximately \$135,000 based on a stock price of \$19.78 at the time of issuance. The Company recognized \$34,000, or \$20,000 net of tax, of expense for the three months ended March 31, 2006 related to this restricted stock.

On March 9, 2004, the Company issued restricted common shares under its 2003 Equity Compensation Plan of which 34,117 were

- 14 -

unvested at March 31, 2006. Certain officers of the Company irrevocably elected to receive the restricted shares in lieu of cash based on a percentage of their targeted annual bonus expected to be paid over the next three years. Restrictions on the shares lapse at the end of 10 years but may lapse (vest) in as little as three years if designated performance goals are achieved. To date, 73,629 of the shares have vested and 19,626 were forfeited. The Company recorded deferred compensation of approximately \$2.0 million at the time of issuance based on the then stock price of \$15.88. As vesting occurs, or is deemed likely to occur, compensation expense is recognized and deferred compensation reduced on the balance sheet. The Company recognized \$139,000 and \$157,000, or \$84,000 and \$95,000 net of tax, of compensation expense related to this program for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table summarizes the activity of the non-vested restricted stock during the three months ended March 31, 2006:

		Weighted Average Grant Date Fair
	Shares	Value
Non-vested restricted stock at December 31, 2005	141,180	\$ 16.91
Granted	9,035	21.60
Vested	(36,250)	16.02
Forfeited	(2,762)	17.52
Non-vested restricted stock at March 31, 2006	111,203	\$ 18.28

The Company believes it does not have adequate historical data to estimate forfeitures as of March 31, 2006. As of March 31, 2006, there was \$1.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock compensation to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.13 years.

Item 2. Management's Discussion And Analysis Of Financial Condition And Results Of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto in our Form 10-K/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This discussion contains certain statements of a forward-looking nature that involve risks and uncertainties.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this document may include the words or phrases "can be," "expects," "plans," "may," "may affect," "may depend," "believe," "estimate," "intend," "could," "should," "if" and similar words and phrases that constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are subject to various known and unknown risks and uncertainties and the Company cautions that any forward-looking information provided by or on its behalf is not a guarantee of future performance. Statements regarding the following subjects are forward-looking by their nature: (a) our business strategy; (b) our projected operating results; (c) our ability to obtain external financing; (d) our understanding of our competition; and (e) industry and market trends. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forwardlooking statements due to a number of factors, some beyond the Company's control, including, without limitation:

- availability, terms and deployment of capital;
- general volatility of the securitization and capital markets;
- changes in our industry, interest rates or the general economy;
- changes in our business strategy;
- the degree and nature of our competition;
- availability of qualified personnel; and
- the factors set forth in the section captioned "Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Forward-looking statements apply only as of the date made and the Company is not required to update forward-looking statements for subsequent or unanticipated events or circumstances.

- 15 -

Three

Overview

We are a nationwide provider of equipment financing solutions primarily to small businesses. We finance over 60 categories of commercial equipment important to businesses including copiers, telephone systems, computers, and certain commercial and industrial equipment. We access our end user customers through origination sources comprised of our existing network of independent equipment dealers and, to a lesser extent, through relationships with lease brokers and through direct solicitation of our end user customers. Our leases are fixed rate transactions with terms generally ranging from 36 to 60 months. At March 31, 2006, our lease portfolio consisted of approximately 105,000 accounts with an average original term of 47 months and average original transaction size of approximately \$9,255.

Since our founding in 1997, we have grown to \$670.3 million in total assets at March 31, 2006. Our assets are substantially comprised of our net investment in leases which totaled \$588.6 million at March 31, 2006. Our lease portfolio grew approximately 15.3% in the past twelve months. Personnel costs represent our most significant overhead expense and we have added to our staffing levels to both support and grow our lease portfolio. Since inception, we have also added four regional sales offices to help us penetrate certain targeted markets, with our most recent office opening in Salt Lake City, Utah in 2006. Growing the lease portfolio while maintaining asset quality remains the primary focus of management. We expect our on-going investment in our sales teams and regional offices to drive continued growth in our lease portfolio.

We generally reach our lessees through a network of independent equipment dealers and lease brokers. The number of dealers and brokers we conduct business with depends primarily on the number of sales account executives we have. Accordingly, growth indicators management evaluates regularly are sales account executive staffing levels and the activity of our origination sources, which are shown below.

						Months Ended
	As of or For the Years Ended December 31,				March 31,	
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Number of sales account executives	50	67	84	100	103	101
Number of originating sources (1)	815	929	1,147	1,244	1,295	1,219

(1) Monthly average of origination sources generating lease volume.

Our revenue consists of interest and fees from our leases and, to a lesser extent, income from our property insurance program and other fee income. Our expenses consist of interest expense and operating expenses, which include salaries and benefits and other general and administrative expenses. As a credit lender, our earnings are also significantly impacted by credit losses. For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, our net credit losses were 1.64% of our average net investment in leases. We establish reserves for credit losses which requires us to estimate expected losses in our portfolio.

Our leases are classified under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as direct financing leases, and we recognize interest income over the term of the lease. Direct financing leases transfer substantially all of the benefits and risks of ownership to the equipment lessee. Our investment in leases is reflected in our consolidated financial statements as "net investment in direct financing leases consists of the sum of total minimum lease payments receivable and the estimated residual value of leased equipment, less unearned lease income. Unearned lease income consists of the lease term plus deferred net initial direct costs and fees less the cost of the related equipment. For the quarter ended March 31, 2006 approximately 70% of our lease portfolio amortizes over the term to a \$1 residual value. For the remainder of the portfolio, we must estimate end of the equipment at the end of the lease term.

Since our founding, we have funded our business through a combination of variable rate borrowings and fixed rate asset securitization transactions, as well as through the issuance from time to time of subordinated debt and equity. Our variable rate financing sources consist of a revolving bank facility and two CP conduit warehouse facilities. We issue fixed rate term debt through the asset-backed securitization market. Typically, leases are funded through variable rate borrowings until they are refinanced through the term note securitization at fixed rates. All of our term note securitizations have been accounted for as on-balance sheet transactions and, therefore, we have not recognized gains or losses from these transactions. As of March 31, 2006, \$450.9 million or 89% of our



borrowings were fixed rate term note securitizations.

Since we initially finance our fixed-rate leases with variable rate financing, our earnings are exposed to interest rate risk should interest rates rise before we complete our fixed rate term note securitizations. We generally benefit in times of falling and low interest rates. We are also dependent upon obtaining future financing to refinance our warehouse lines of credit in order to grow our lease portfolio. We currently plan to complete a fixed-rate term note securitization at least once a year. Failure to obtain such financing, or other alternate financing, would significantly restrict our growth and future financial performance. We use derivative financial instruments to manage exposure to the effects of changes in market interest rates and to fulfill certain covenants in our borrowing arrangements. All derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value as either assets or liabilities. Accounting for the changes in fair value of derivatives depends on whether the derivative has been designated and qualifies for hedge accounting treatment pursuant to SFAS 133, as amended, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties. Our consolidated financial statements are based on the selection and application of critical accounting policies, the most significant of which are described below.

Income recognition. Interest income is recognized under the effective interest method. The effective interest method of income recognition applies a constant rate of interest equal to the internal rate of return on the lease. When a lease is 90 days or more delinquent, the lease is classified as being on non-accrual and we do not recognize interest income on that lease until the lease is less than 90 days delinquent.

Fee income consists of fees for delinquent lease payments and cash collected on early termination of leases. Fee income also includes net residual income which includes income from lease renewals and gains and losses on the realization of residual values of equipment disposed of at the end of term.

Fee income from delinquent lease payments is recognized on an accrual basis based on anticipated collection rates. Fee income from delinquent lease payments is accrued based on anticipated collection rates. Other fees are recognized when received. Net residual income includes charges for the reduction in estimated residual values on equipment for leases in renewal and is recognized during the renewal period. Residual balances at lease termination which remain uncollected more than 120 days are charged against income.

Insurance income is recognized on an accrual basis as earned over the term of the lease. Payments that are 120 days or more past due are charged against income. Ceding commissions, losses and loss adjustment expenses are recorded in the period incurred and netted against insurance income.

Initial direct costs and fees. We defer initial direct costs incurred and fees received to originate our leases in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 91, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases.* The initial direct costs and fees we defer are part of the net investment in direct financing leases and are amortized to interest income using the effective interest method. We defer third party commission costs as well as certain internal costs directly related to the origination activity. The costs include evaluating the prospective lessee's financial condition, evaluating and recording guarantees and other security arrangements, negotiating lease terms, preparing and processing lease documents and closing the transaction. The fees we defer are documentation fees collected at lease inception. The realization of the deferred initial direct costs, net of fees deferred, is predicated on the net future cash flows generated by our lease portfolio.

Lease residual values. A direct financing lease is recorded at the aggregate future minimum lease payments plus the estimated residual values less unearned income. Residual values reflect the estimated amounts to be received at lease termination from lease extensions, sales or other dispositions of leased equipment. These estimates are based on industry data and on our experience. Management

- 17 -

performs periodic reviews of the estimated residual values and any impairment, if other than temporary, is recognized in the current period.

Allowance for credit losses. We maintain an allowance for credit losses at an amount sufficient to absorb losses inherent in our existing lease portfolio as of the reporting dates based on our projection of probable net credit losses. To project probable net credit losses, we perform a migration analysis of delinquent and current accounts. A migration analysis is a technique used to estimate the likelihood that an account will progress through the various delinquency stages and ultimately charge off. In addition to the migration analysis, we also consider other factors including recent trends in delinquencies and charge-offs; accounts filing for bankruptcy; recovered amounts; forecasting uncertainties; the composition of our lease portfolio; economic conditions; and seasonality. We then establish an allowance for credit losses for the projected probable net credit losses based on this analysis. A provision is charged against earnings to maintain the allowance for credit losses at the appropriate level. Our policy is to charge-off against the allowance the estimated unrecoverable portion of accounts once they reach 121 days delinquent.

Our projections of probable net credit losses are inherently uncertain, and as a result we cannot predict with certainty the amount of such losses. Changes in economic conditions, the risk characteristics and composition of the portfolio, bankruptcy laws, and other factors could impact our actual and projected net credit losses and the related allowance for credit losses. To the degree we add new leases to our portfolio, or to the degree credit quality is worse than expected, we will record expense to increase the allowance for credit losses for the estimated net losses expected in our lease portfolio.

Securitizations. Since inception, the Company has completed seven term note securitizations of which four have been repaid. In connection with each transaction, the Company has established a bankruptcy remote special-purpose subsidiary and issued term debt to institutional investors. Under SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, a replacement of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement 125, the Company's securitizations do not qualify for sales accounting treatment due to certain call provisions that the Company maintains as well as the fact that the special purpose entities used in connection with the securitizations also hold the residual assets. Accordingly, assets and related debt of the special purpose entities are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Company's leases and restricted cash are assigned as collateral for these borrowings and there is no further recourse to the general credit of the Company. Collateral in excess of these borrowings represents the Company's maximum loss exposure.

Derivatives. SFAS 133, as amended, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, requires recognition of all derivatives at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. The accounting for subsequent changes in the fair value of these derivatives depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies for hedge accounting treatment pursuant to the accounting standard. For derivatives not designated or qualifying for hedge accounting, the related gain or loss is recognized in earnings for each period and included in other income or financing related costs in the consolidated statement of operations. For derivatives designated for hedge accounting, initial assessments are made as to whether the hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective and on-going periodic assessments may be required to determine the on-going effectiveness of the hedge. The gain or loss on derivatives qualifying for hedge accounting is recorded in other comprehensive income on the balance sheet net of tax effects (unrealized gain or loss on cash flow hedges) or in current period earnings depending on the effectiveness of the hedging relationship.

Stock-Based Compensation. In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. SFAS 123(R) amended SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and superseded Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 107 to provide guidance on the valuation of share-based payments for public companies. SFAS 123(R) requires companies to recognize all share-based payments, which include stock options and restricted stock, in compensation expense over the service period of the share-based payment award. SFAS 123(R) establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment arrangements and requires all entities to apply a fair-value-based measurement method in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees, except for equity instruments held by employee share ownership plans.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized over the service period for all awards granted subsequent to the Company's adoption of 123(R) as well as for the unvested portions of awards outstanding as of the Company's adoption of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, results for prior periods have not been restated.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company applied the recognition and measurement principles of APB 25 as allowed by SFAS 123 and SFAS 148, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure*. Accordingly, no stock-based compensation was recognized in net income for stock options granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying

- 18 -

common stock on the date of the grant and the related number of options granted were fixed at that point in time.

Income taxes. Significant management judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any necessary valuation allowance recorded against net deferred tax assets. The process involves summarizing temporary differences resulting from the different treatment of items, for example, leases for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within the consolidated balance sheet. Our management must then assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income or tax carry-back availability and, to the extent our management believes recovery is not likely, a valuation allowance must be established. To the extent that we establish a valuation allowance in a period, an expense must be recorded within the tax provision in the statement of operations. We currently are in a net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") position for state and federal income tax purposes. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 contains provisions that may limit the NOLs available to be used in any given year upon the occurrence of certain events, including significant changes in ownership interest. A change in the ownership of a company greater than 50% within a three-year period results in an annual limitation on a company's ability to utilize its NOLs from tax periods prior to the ownership change. Management believes that the corporate reorganization and initial public offering in November 2003 did not have a material effect on its ability to utilize these NOLs. No valuation allowance has been established against net deferred tax assets related to our NOLs, as our management believes these or these estimates are adjusted in future periods, we may need to establish a valuation allowance, which could materially impact its financial position and results of operations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Three-Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005

Net income. Net income was \$4.7 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006. This represented an \$800,000 or 19.9% increase from \$3.9 million net income reported for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005. During the three-months ended March 31, 2006, net interest and fee income increased due to growth in our investment in direct financing leases.

Diluted net income per share was \$0.39 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and \$0.33 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we generated 7,734 new leases with a cost of \$82.0 million compared to 8,248 leases with a cost of \$77.3 million generated for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The weighted average implicit interest rate on new leases originated was 12.84% for both the three months ended March 31, 2006 and for the same period in 2005. Overall, our average net investment in direct financing leases ("DFL") at March 31, 2006 increased 15.9% to \$568.2 million compared to March 31, 2005.

	Three-months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(dollars in thousands)	
Interest income	\$ 17,819	\$ 15,714
Fee income	4,907	4,448
Interest and fee income	22,726	20,162
Interest expense	5,495	4,492
Net interest and fee income	<u>\$ 17,231</u>	\$ 15,670
Average net investment in direct financing leases ⁽¹⁾	\$568,248	\$490,293
Percent of average net investment in direct financing leases: Interest income Fee income	12.54% <u>3.45</u>	12.82% <u>3.63</u>
Interest and fee income Interest expense	15.99 <u>3.87</u>	16.45 3.67
Net interest and fee margin	12.12%	12.78%

⁽¹⁾ Excludes allowance for credit losses and initial direct costs and fees deferred.

Net interest and fee margin. Net interest and fee income increased \$1.5 million, or 10.0%, to \$17.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$15.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The annualized net interest and fee margin decreased 66 basis points to 12.12% in the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from 12.78% for the same period in 2005.

Interest income, net of amortized initial direct costs and fees, increased \$2.1 million, or 13.4%, to \$17.8 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from \$15.7 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005. The increase in interest income was due principally to a 15.9% growth in the average net investment in direct financing leases outstanding which increased \$77.9 million to \$568.2 million at March 31, 2006 from \$490.3 million at March 31, 2005. The weighted average implicit interest rate on new leases originated was 12.84% for both the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and for the same period in 2005.

Fee income increased \$459,000, or 10.3%, to \$4.9 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from \$4.4 million for the same period in 2005. All major components of fee income contributed to the increase in the 2006 period consistent with the continued growth in our lease portfolio. The largest increases came from higher net residual income that grew \$299,000 to \$1.7 million and late fee income that grew \$151,000 to \$2.7 million. Growth in residual income is reflective of the seasoning of our lease portfolio with more contracts reaching end of term and moving into renewal status. Fee income, as a percentage of the average net investment in DFL, decreased 18 basis points to 3.45% annualized for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from 3.63% annualized for the same period in 2005.

Interest expense increased \$1.0 million to \$5.5 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from \$4.5 million for the same period in 2005. The dollar increase in interest expense is attributed to a combination of higher borrowings needed to fund the continued growth of the Company and increased interest rates on the Company's borrowed monies including variable rate warehouse facilities. Interest expense, as a percentage of the average net investment in DFL, increased 20 basis points to 3.87% annualized for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from 3.67% annualized for the same period in 2005. This increase is primarily due to higher interest rates on the Company's borrowings due to increased market interest rates.

Interest expense as a percentage of weighted average borrowings was 4.40% for the first quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to 4.10% for the same period in 2005. The higher interest costs reflect a generally rising interest rate environment which has affected both our variable rate warehouse lines and our fixed rate term securitizations. The average balance for our warehouse facilities was \$16.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$42.8 million for the same period ended March 31, 2005. The average borrowing costs for our warehouse facilities was 5.97% for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to 3.70% for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The Federal Reserve has increased its targeted fed funds rate eight times for a total of 2.00% since March 31, 2005 and a total of 15 times or 3.75% since June, 2004. These increases have generally increased interest rates on LIBOR and Prime interest rate based loans such as the Company's warehouse facilities.

Interest costs on our August 2005 issued term securitization borrowing increased over those issued in 2003 and 2004 due to the rising interest rate environment. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, average term securitization borrowings outstanding were \$483.6 million at a weighted average coupon of 4.00% compared with \$395.8 million at a weighted average coupon of 3.57% for the same period in 2005. On August 18, 2005 we closed on the issuance of our seventh term note securitization transaction in the amount of \$340.6 million at a weighted average interest coupon approximating 4.81% over the term of the financing. After the effects of hedging and other transaction costs are considered, we expect total interest expense on the 2005 term transaction to approximate an average of 4.50% over the term of the borrowing.

Insurance and other income. Insurance and other income increased \$184,000, or 15.7%, to \$1.4 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from \$1.2 million for the same period in 2005. The increase is primarily related to higher insurance income of \$159,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared with the same period in 2005. The higher insurance income stems from growth in the lease portfolio and additional customers electing to obtain their equipment insurance through our program.

Salaries and benefits expense. Salaries and benefits expense increased \$712,000, or 16.1%, to \$5.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$4.4 million for the same period in 2005. Total personnel increased to 301 at March 31, 2006 from 271 at March 31, 2005. We continue to add personnel to aid and support our continued growth. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005, credit compensation increased \$86,000 related to additional hiring of credit analysts offset by decreased sales related compensation of \$126,000 primarily due to lower commissions earned for the period. Compensation in management and other support areas increased \$677,000, of which \$184,000 was related to the adoption on SFAS 123R and approximately \$125,000 was related to Marlin Business Bank (in organization). We also incurred approximately \$95,000 in severance cost for the period ended March 31, 2006.

- 20 -

General and administrative expense. General and administrative expenses decreased \$80,000, or 2.8%, to \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$2.8 million for the same period in 2005. The decrease in general and administrative expenses included decreased marketing and sales training expense of \$84,000 and temporary staffing expense of \$47,000.

Financing related costs. Financing related costs include commitment fees paid to our financing sources and costs pertaining to our derivative contracts used to manage interest rate exposure that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Financing related costs increased \$82,000 to \$455,000 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from \$373,000 for the same period in 2005. Mark to market expense of \$15,000 was recorded on our interest rate caps for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 compared with \$20,000 of expense for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005. Commitment fees were \$440,000 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 compared with \$353,000 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005.

Provision for credit losses. The provision for credit losses decreased \$265,000, or 9.9%, to \$2.4 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from \$2.7 million for the same period in 2005. The decrease in our provision for credit losses resulted primarily from improved credit quality. Net charge-offs were \$2.3 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and \$2.4 million for the same period in 2005. Excluding \$87,000 of Hurricane Katrina related losses, net charge-offs for the three month period ended March 31, 2006 would have been \$2.2 million. During the third quarter of 2005 we booked additional reserves of \$1.25 million for expected losses from the areas hardest hit by Hurricane Katrina. This additional reserve was initially estimated based on our total estimated exposure of \$4.8 million in net investment in direct financing leases in the most affected areas at the time. Through March 31, 2006, we have yet to experience significant aggregate charge-offs related to Hurricane Katrina. However, in 2005 we restructured approximately \$1.0 million in net investment in leases in the Gulf States region by deferring payments on such leases generally until January 2006. We continue to monitor this portion of our portfolio as a specifically identified segment outside of our normal migration analysis.

Provision for income taxes. The provision for income taxes increased 19.8% to \$3.1 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 from \$2.6 million for the same period in 2005. The increase is directly attributable to the increase in pretax income. Our effective tax rate was 39.5% for both three-month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.

LEASE RECEIVABLES AND ASSET QUALITY

Our net investment in direct financing leases grew \$16.0 million, or 2.8% to \$588.6 million at March 31, 2006 from \$572.6 million at December 31, 2005. The Company continues to pursue growth strategies designed to increase the number of independent equipment dealers and other origination sources that generate and develop lease customers. The Company's leases are generally assigned as collateral for borrowings as described below in Liquidity and Capital Resources.

The activity of the allowance for credit losses and delinquent accounts follows:

	Three-months Ended	Year Ended December
	March 31,	<u> </u>
	2006 2005	2005
Allowance for credit losses, beginning of period Provision for credit losses Charge-offs, net	(dollars in thousands \$ 7,813 \$ 6,062 2,415 2,680 (2,324) (2,426)) \$ 6,062 10,886 (9,135)
Allowance for credit losses, end of period	<u>\$ 7,904</u> <u>\$ 6,316</u>	<u>\$ 7,813</u>
Annualized net charge-offs to average net investment in leases ⁽¹⁾ Allowance for credit losses to net investment in leases ⁽¹⁾	1.64%1.98%1.37%1.26%	1.74% 1.39%
Average net investment in leases ⁽¹⁾	\$568,248 \$490,293	\$ 523,948
Delinquencies 60 days or more past due Delinquencies 60 days or more past due ⁽²⁾	\$3,320 \$3,849 0.49% 0.65%	\$ 4,063 0.61%

- 21 -

	Three-months Ended March 31,		
	<u>2006</u> (dollar	2005 2005 s in thousands)	
Allowance for credit losses to delinquent accounts 60 days or more past due	238.07%	164.12% 192.30%	
Non-accrual leases Renegotiated leases	· / / ·	\$ 1,529	

⁽¹⁾ Average net investment in leases excludes allowance for credit losses and initial direct costs and fees deferred.

⁽²⁾ Calculated as a percent of minimum lease payments receivable.

Net investments in direct financing leases are charged-off when they are contractually past due 121 days and are reported net of recoveries. Income is not recognized on leases when a default on monthly payment exists for a period of 90 days or more. Income recognition resumes when a lease becomes less than 90 days delinquent.

In the third quarter of 2005 we booked additional reserves for expected credit losses of \$1.25 million based on our assessment of information available at the time on our lease portfolio's exposure to those areas most impacted by Hurricane Katrina in late August 2005. Marlin estimates that it had approximately \$4.8 million in net investment in leases outstanding in the areas most affected by Hurricane Katrina. As of March 31, 2006, we have charged off approximately \$138,000 and restructured approximately \$1.0 million of these accounts by deferring lessee payments generally into the first quarter of 2006. The longer term impact of this storm on the economy in the Gulf States Region and our customers remains uncertain.

Delinquent accounts 60 days or more past due as a percentage of minimum lease payments receivable declined to 0.49% at March 31, 2006 from 0.61% at December 31, 2005. Our usual experience and expectation is for slightly higher delinquency rates as of year-end as we believe our lessees tend to adjust their payment patterns around the year-end. We also expected higher delinquency rates in the fourth quarter of 2005 attributed to Hurricane Katrina and its impact on our lessees in the Gulf States region. We restructured many accounts in the Katrina affected areas by deferring payments into the first quarter of 2006 and, therefore, these accounts did not contribute to delinquency rates as of year end. We continue to monitor this portion of our portfolio as a specifically identified segment outside of our normal migration analysis.

Residual Performance

Our leases offer our end user customers the option to own the purchased equipment at lease expiration. As of March 31, 2006, approximately 70% of our leases were one dollar purchase option leases, 22% were fair market value leases and 8% were fixed purchase option leases, the latter of which typically are 10% of the original equipment cost. As of March 31, 2006, there were \$45.1 million of residual assets retained on our balance sheet of which \$31.1 million were related to copiers.

Our leases generally include automatic renewal provisions and many leases continue beyond their initial contractual term. We consider renewal income a component of residual performance. For the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, renewal income, net of depreciation amounted to \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. For the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, net gains on residual values disposed at end of term amounted to \$46,000 and \$17,000, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our business requires a substantial amount of cash to operate and grow. Our primary liquidity need is for new lease originations. In addition, we need liquidity to pay interest and principal on our borrowings, to pay fees and expenses incurred in connection with our securitization transactions, to fund infrastructure and technology investment and to pay administrative and other operating expenses. We are dependent upon the availability of financing from a variety of funding sources to satisfy these liquidity needs. Historically, we have relied upon four principal types of third party financing to fund our operations:

- borrowings under a revolving bank facility;
- financing of leases in CP conduit warehouse facilities;
- financing of leases through term note securitizations; and
- equity and debt securities with third party investors.

We used net cash in investing activities of \$22.8 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006, and \$32.9 million for the

- 22 -

three-month period ended March 31, 2005. Investing activities primarily relate to lease origination activity.

Net cash used by financing activities was \$11.7 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and net cash provided by financing activities was \$11.5 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005. Financing activities include net advances and repayments on our various borrowing sources.

Additional liquidity is provided by our cash flow from operations. We generated cash flow from operations of \$5.0 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006, and \$11.3 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005.

We expect cash from operations, additional borrowings on existing and future credit facilities, and the completion of additional on-balancesheet term note securitizations to be adequate to support our operations and projected growth.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Our objective is to maintain a low cash balance, investing any free cash in leases. We generally fund our lease originations and growth using advances under our revolving bank facility and our CP conduit warehouse facilities. Total cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2006, was \$4.9 million compared to \$34.5 million at December 31, 2005.

As of March 31, 2006, we also had \$53.0 million of cash that was classified as restricted cash, compared to \$47.8 million at December 31, 2005. Restricted cash consists primarily of the cash reserve and advance payment accounts related to our term note securitizations.

Borrowings. Our primary borrowing relationships each require the pledging of eligible lease receivables to secure amounts advanced. Borrowings outstanding under the Company's revolving credit facilities and long-term debt consist of the following:

	For	For the Three-months Ended March 31, 2006				of March 31, 2006	i
	Maximum Facility Amount	Maximum Month End Amount <u>Outstanding</u>	Average Amount <u>Outstanding</u> (Dol	Weighted Average <u>Coupon</u> lars in thousand	Amounts <u>Outstanding</u> s)	Weighted Average Coupon	Unused <u>Capacity</u>
Revolving bank facility ⁽¹⁾ CP conduit warehouse facilities ⁽¹⁾ Term note securitizations ⁽²⁾	\$ 40,000 225,000	\$	\$ 2,022 13,991 <u>483,576</u>	8.36% 5.62 4.00	\$ — 53,568 <u>450,891</u>	—% 5.24 4.08	\$ 40,000 171,432
	\$ 265,000		\$ 499,589	4.07%	\$ 504,459	4.20%	\$211,432

⁽¹⁾ Subject to lease eligibility and borrowing base formula.

(2) Our term note securitizations are one-time fundings that pay down over time without any ability for us to draw down additional amounts.

Revolving Bank Facility

As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company has a committed revolving line of credit with several participating banks to provide up to \$40.0 million in borrowings at LIBOR plus 1.875%. The credit facility expires on August 31, 2007. There was zero outstanding under this facility at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company incurred commitment fees on the unused portion of the credit facility of \$51,000 and \$84,000, respectively.

CP Conduit Warehouse Facilities

We have two Commercial Paper ("CP") conduit warehouse facilities that allow us to borrow, repay and re-borrow based on a borrowing base formula. In these transactions, we transfer pools of leases and interests in the related equipment to special purpose, bankruptcy remote subsidiaries. These special purpose entities in turn pledge their interests in the leases and related equipment to an unaffiliated conduit entity, which generally issues commercial paper to investors. The warehouse facilities allow the Company on an ongoing basis to transfer lease receivables to a wholly-owned, bankruptcy remote, special purpose subsidiary of the Company, which issues variable rate notes to investors carrying an interest rate equal to the rate on commercial paper issued to fund the notes during the

- 23 -

interest period. These facilities require that the Company limit its exposure to adverse interest rate movements on the variable rate notes through entering into interest rate cap agreements.

00-A Warehouse Facility — This facility totals \$125 million and expires in October 2006. The 00-A Warehouse Facility is credit enhanced through a third party financial guarantee insurance policy. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, the weighted average interest rates were 5.71% and 3.74%, respectively. There was \$18.9 million outstanding at March 31, 2006 and \$0 outstanding under this facility as of December 31, 2005.

02-A Warehouse Facility — This facility totals \$100 million and was renewed in March 2006, and expires in March 2009. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and year ended December 31, 2005, the weighted average interest rate was 5.59% and 4.29%, respectively. There was \$34.6 million outstanding under this facility at March 31, 2006 and \$0 outstanding at December 31, 2005.

Term Note Securitizations

Since our founding, we have completed seven on-balance-sheet term note securitizations of which three remain outstanding. In connection with each securitization transaction, we have transferred leases to our wholly owned, special-purpose bankruptcy remote subsidiaries and issued term debt collateralized by such commercial leases to institutional investors in private securities offerings. Our term note securitizations differ from our CP conduit warehouse facilities primarily in that our term note securitizations have fixed terms, fixed interest rates and fixed principal amounts. Our securitizations do not qualify for sales accounting treatment due to certain call provisions that we maintain and that the special purpose entities also hold residual assets. Accordingly, assets and the related debt of the special purpose entities are included in our consolidated balance sheets. Our leases and restricted cash are assigned as collateral for these borrowings and there is no further recourse to the general credit of the Company. By entering into term note securitizations, we reduce outstanding borrowings under our CP conduit warehouse facilities and revolving bank facility, which increases the amounts available to us under these facilities to fund additional lease originations. Failure to periodically pay down the outstanding borrowings under our warehouse facilities, or increase such facilities, would significantly limit our ability to grow our lease portfolio. At March 31, 2006 and at December 31, 2005, outstanding term securitizations amounted to \$450.9 million and \$516.8 million, respectively.

On August 18, 2005 we closed on the issuance of our seventh term note securitization transaction in the amount of \$340.6 million. This issue has a similar structure to our 2004 term securitization with 6 different classes of notes. Each class of notes has its own interest rate, repayment term and rating. The weighted average interest coupon of the 2005 term securitization will approximate 4.81% over the term of the financing. We entered into forward starting interest rate swap agreements in advance of pricing our 2005 term securitization to hedge against rising interest rates. The Company terminated these swap agreements simultaneously with the pricing of the term securitization issued on August 11, 2005 and is amortizing the recognized gains of \$3.2 million to reduce recorded interest expense over the term of the related borrowing. As a result of this hedging activity, we expect total interest expense on the 2005 term transaction to approximate an average of 4.50% over the term of the borrowing.

On August 15, 2005 we elected to exercise our call option and pay off our 2002-1 term securitization when the remaining note balances outstanding were \$26.5 million at a coupon rate of approximately 4.4%.

Our borrowings, including our term note securitizations, are collateralized by the Company's direct financing leases. The Company is restricted from selling, transferring, or assigning the leases or placing liens or pledges on these leases.

Under the revolving bank facility, warehouse facilities and term securitization agreements, the Company is subject to numerous covenants, restrictions and default provisions relating to, among other things, maximum lease delinquency and default levels, a minimum net worth requirement of \$72.2 million and a maximum debt to equity ratio of 10 to 1. A change in the Chief Executive Officer or President is an event of default under the revolving bank facility and warehouse facilities unless a replacement acceptable to the Company's lenders is hired within 90 days. Such an event is also an immediate event of servicer termination under the term securitizations. A merger or consolidation with another company in which the Company is not the surviving entity is an event of default under the financing facilities. In addition, the revolving bank facility and warehouse facilities contain cross default provisions whereby certain defaults under one facility would also be an event of default on the other facilities. An event of default under the revolving bank facility or warehouse facilities could result in termination of further funds being available under such facility. An event of default under any of the facilities and/or the removal of the Company as servicer of the leases financed by the facilities. As of March 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with terms of the warehouse facilities and term securitization agreements.

- 24 -

Contractual Obligations

In addition to our scheduled maturities on our credit facilities and term debt, we have future cash obligations under various types of contracts. We lease office space and office equipment under long-term operating leases. The contractual obligations under our agreements, credit facilities, term securitizations, operating leases and commitments under non-cancelable contracts as of March 31, 2006 were as follows:

		Contractual Obligations as of March 31, 2006							
			lars in thousands)						
		Operating	Leased	Capital					
	Borrowings	Leases	Facilities	Leases	Total				
2006	\$ 212,227	\$ 59	\$ 1,657	\$ 100	\$214,043				
2007	153,370	14	1,689	74	155,147				
2008	87,873	3	1,510	35	89,421				
2009	39,684	_	1,351	_	41,035				
2010	11,193	_	1,281	_	12,474				
Thereafter	112		2,898		3,010				
Total	<u>\$ 504,459</u>	<u>\$76</u>	<u>\$ 10,386</u>	<u>\$209</u>	\$515,130				

MARKET INTEREST RATE RISK AND SENSITIVITY

Market risk is the risk of losses arising from changes in values of financial instruments. We engage in transactions in the normal course of business that expose us to market risks. We attempt to mitigate such risks through prudent management practices and strategies such as attempting to match the expected cash flows of our assets and liabilities.

We are exposed to market risks associated with changes in interest rates and our earnings may fluctuate with changes in interest rates. The lease assets we originate are almost entirely fixed rate. Accordingly, we generally seek to finance these assets with fixed interest cost term note securitization borrowings that we issue periodically. Between term note securitization issues, we finance our new lease originations through a combination of variable rate warehouse facilities and working capital. Our mix of fixed and variable rate borrowings and our exposure to interest rate risk changes over time. Over the past twelve months, the mix of variable rate borrowings has ranged from zero to 36% of total borrowings and averaged 10%. Our highest exposure to variable rate borrowings generally occurs just prior to the issuance of a term note securitization.

We use derivative financial instruments to attempt to further reduce our exposure to changing cash flows caused by possible changes in interest rates. We use forward starting interest rate swap agreements to reduce our exposure to changing market interest rates prior to issuing a term note securitization. In this scenario we usually enter into a forward starting swap to coincide with the forecasted pricing date of future term note securitizations. The intention of this derivative is to reduce possible variations in future cash flows caused by changes in interest rates prior to our forecasted securitization. We may choose to hedge all or a portion of forecasted transactions.

In June and September 2005, we entered forward starting interest rate swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$225.0 million to partially hedge our forecasted 2006 term note securitization. These interest rate swap agreements are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair values of \$3.8 million and \$2.3 million as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedges with unrealized gains recorded in the equity section of the balance sheet of approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.4 million, net of tax, as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company expects to terminate these agreements simultaneously with the pricing of its 2006 term securitization with any of the unrecognized gains or losses amortized to interest expense over the term of the related borrowing.

In October and December 2004 we entered forward starting swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$250 million to partially hedge our forecasted 2005 term securitization. On August 11, 2005, we terminated these interest rate swap agreements simultaneously with the pricing of our 2005 term securitization. The value of these interest rate swap agreements on the termination date was \$3.2 million which we realized. These interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedges with the realized gains deferred and recorded in the equity section of the balance sheet of approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million, net of tax, as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company amortized \$402,000 and \$687,000, respectively, of deferred gains to lower interest expense of the related 2005 term securitization borrowing. The Company expects to reclassify \$702,000, net of tax, into earnings over the next twelve months.

- 25 -

On July 22, 2004 we issued a term note securitization where certain classes of notes were issued at variable rates to investors. We simultaneously entered into interest rate swap contracts to convert these borrowings to fixed interest costs to the Company for the term of the borrowing. As of March 31, 2006, we had interest rate swap agreements related to these transactions with underlying notional amounts of \$65.5 million. These interest rate swap agreements are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair values of \$1.1 million and \$1.1 million as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedges with unrealized gains recorded in the equity section of the balance sheet of approximately \$689,000 and \$652,000, net of tax, as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The ineffectiveness related to these interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges was not material for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006.

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized a net loss of \$9,000 and \$12,000, respectively in other financing related costs related to the fair values of the interest rate swaps that did not qualify for hedge accounting. As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company had interest rate swap agreements related to non-hedge accounting transactions with underlying notional amounts of \$1.7 million and \$512,000, respectively. These interest rate swap agreements are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet at a fair value of \$67,000 and \$76,000 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. This derivative is also related to the 2004 term securitization and is intended to offset certain prepayment risks in the lease portfolio pledged in the 2004 term securitization.

The Company also uses interest-rate cap agreements that are not designated for hedge accounting treatment to fulfill certain covenants in our warehouse borrowing arrangements. Accordingly, these cap agreements are recorded at fair value in other assets at \$548,000 and \$103,000 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Changes in the fair values of the caps are recorded in financing related costs in the accompanying statements of operations. The notional amount of interest rate caps owned as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was \$142.0 million and \$155.1 million, respectively. The Company also sells interest rate caps to generate premium revenues to partially offset the premium cost of purchasing its required interest rate caps. As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the notional amount of interest-rate cap sold agreements totaled \$62.3 million and \$64.6 million, respectively. The fair value of interest-rate caps sold is recorded in other liabilities at \$525,000 and \$81,000 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

The following table presents the expected principal repayment schedule of our debt and the related weighted average interest rates as of March 31, 2006 and for each year ended through December 31, 2010 and for periods thereafter.

		Expected Maturity Date by Calendar Year						
		2010 and						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	Beyond	Total		
			(dollars in t	housands)				
Debt:								
Fixed rate debt	\$158,659	\$153,370	\$ 87,873	\$ 39,684	\$ 11,305	\$450,891		
Average fixed rate	4.09%	4.16%	4.27%	4.71%	4.94%	4.22%		
Variable rate debt	\$ 53,568	_	_	_	_	\$ 53,568		
Average variable rate	5.24%	_	—	_	_	5.24%		

Our warehouse facilities charge variable rates of interest based on LIBOR, prime rate or commercial paper interest rates. Because our assets are predominately fixed rate, increases in these market interest rates would negatively impact earnings and decreases in the rates would positively impact earnings because the rate charged on our borrowings would change faster than our assets could reprice. We would have to offset increases in borrowing costs by adjusting the pricing under our new leases or our net interest margin would be reduced. There can be no assurance that we will be able to offset higher borrowing costs with increased pricing of our assets.

For example, the impact of a hypothetical 100 basis point, or 1.0%, increase in the market rates for which our borrowings are indexed for the twelve month period ended March 31, 2006 would have been to reduce net interest and fee income by approximately \$515,000 based on our average variable rate borrowings of approximately \$51.5 million for the year then ended, excluding the effects of any changes in the value of derivatives and possible increases in the yields from our lease portfolio due to the origination of new leases at higher interest rates.

We manage and monitor our exposure to interest rate risk using balance sheet simulation models. Such models incorporate many of our assumptions about our business including new asset production and pricing, interest rate forecasts, overhead expense forecasts and

- 26 -

MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006 Table of Contents

assumed credit losses. Past experience drives many of the assumptions we use in our simulation models and actual results could vary substantially.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123(R) *Share-Based Payments*, an amendment of FASB Statements 123 and 95, requiring companies to recognize expense on the grant-date for the fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees and non-employees. The Statement is effective for most public companies' interim or annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005 (not later than January 1, 2006 for calendar-year-end companies). All public companies must use either the modified prospective or the modified retrospective transition method. The Company used the modified prospective method whereby awards that are granted, modified, or settled after the date of adoption will be measured and accounted for in accordance with Statement 123(R). Unvested equity classified awards that were granted prior to the effective date will be accounted for in accordance with Statement 123(R) and expensed as the awards vest based on their grant date fair value. Accordingly, the Company adopted this rule in the first quarter of 2006 and recognizing approximately \$183,000 of pre-tax expense for the vesting of stock options issued prior to January 1, 2006.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* — *a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3.* SFAS No. 154 changes the accounting for and reporting of a voluntary change in accounting principle and replaces APB Opinion No. 20 and SFAS No. 3. Under Opinion No. 20, most changes in accounting principle were reported in the income statement of the period of change as a cumulative adjustment. However, under SFAS No. 154, a voluntary change in accounting principle must be shown retrospectively in the financial statements, if practicable, for all periods presented. In cases where retrospective application is impracticable, an adjustment to the assets and liabilities and a corresponding adjustment to retained earnings can be made as of the beginning of the earliest period for which retrospective application is practicable rather than being reported in the income statement. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* an amendment of SFAS No. 133 and No. 140. This Statement, which becomes effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006, addresses certain beneficial interests in securitized financial assets. Because of the recent issuance of this Statement and, given that adoption of SFAS 155 is not required until fiscal year 2007, the Company has not completed its initial assessment of the impact, if any, this Statement may have on its consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The information appearing in the section captioned "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Market Interest Rate Risk and Sensitivity" under Item 2 of this Form 10-Q is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Based on that evaluation, the CEO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are designed and functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's first fiscal quarter of 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

- 27 -

PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are party to various legal proceedings, which include claims, litigation and class action suits arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, these actions will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors from those disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters To A Vote Of Security Holders

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number 3.1 ⁽³⁾	Description Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant.
3.2(2)	Bylaws of the Registrant.
4.1 ⁽²⁾	Second Amended and Restated Registration Agreement, as amended through July 26, 2001, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation and certain of its shareholders.
10.1(2)†	2003 Equity Compensation Plan of the Registrant.
10.2(2)†	2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of the Registrant.
10.3(2)	Lease Agreement, dated as of April 9, 1998, and amendment thereto dated as of September 22, 1999 between W9/PHC Real Estate Limited Partnership and Marlin Leasing Corporation.
10.4(4)	Lease Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2003, between Liberty Property Limited Partnership and Marlin Leasing Corporation
10.5 ^{(2)†}	Employment Agreement, dated as of October 14, 2003 between Daniel P. Dyer and the Registrant.
10.6(2)†	Employment Agreement, dated as of October 14, 2003 between Gary R. Shivers and the Registrant.
10.7(2)†	Employment Agreement, dated as of October 14, 2003 between George D. Pelose and the Registrant.
10.8 ⁽¹⁾	Master Lease Receivables Asset-Backed Financing Facility Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2000, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. IV and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.
10.9(1)	Amended and Restated Series 2000-A Supplement dated as of August 7, 2001, to the Master Lease Receivables Asset-Backed Financing Facility Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2000, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. IV, Marlin Leasing Receivables IV LLC, Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, XL Capital Assurance Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.
10.10 ⁽¹⁾	Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Series 2000-A Supplement dated as of September 25, 2002, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. IV, Marlin Leasing Receivables IV LLC,

MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006

Table	<u>of Contents</u>	
Exhibi Numb		
10.11 ^{(ŧ}	Fourth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Series 2000-A Supplement dated as of October 7, 2004, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. IV, Marlin Leasing Receivables IV LLC, Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, XL Capital Assurance Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association.	
10.12 ^{(†}	Second Amended and Restated Warehouse Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of August 31, 2001, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, the Lenders and National City Bank.	J
10.13 ^{(*}	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Warehouse Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of July 28, 2003, by and amo Marlin Leasing Corporation, the Lenders and National City Bank.	ng
10.14 ^{(;}	Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Warehouse Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of October 16, 2003, by a among Marlin Leasing Corporation, the Lenders and National City Bank.	nd
10.15 ^{(§}	Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Warehouse Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of August 26, 2005, by and a Marlin Leasing Corporation, the Lenders and National City Bank.	among
10.16 ⁽	Master Lease Receivables Asset-Backed Financing Facility Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2002, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. II and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.	,
10.17 ^{(†}	Series 2002-A Supplement, dated as of April 1, 2002, to the Master Lease Receivables Asset-Backed Financing Facility Agreement, dated a April 1, 2002, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. II, Marlin Leasing Receivables II LLC, National C Bank and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.	
10.18 ^{(†}	First Amendment to Series 2002-A Supplement and Consent to Assignment of 2002-A Note, dated as of July 10, 2003, by and among Marlir Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. II, Marlin Leasing Receivables II LLC, ABN AMRO Bank N.V. and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.	
10.19 ⁽⁴	Second Amendment to Series 2002-A Supplement, dated as of January 13, 2004, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Receivables Corp. II, Marlin Leasing Receivables II LLC, Bank One, N.A., and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.	J
10.20(4	Third Amendment to Series 2002-A Supplement, dated as of March 19, 2004, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables II LLC, Bank One, N.A., and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.	
10.21 ⁽⁽	Fifth Amendment to Series 2002-A Supplement, dated as of March 18, 2005, by and among Marlin Leasing Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Receivables Corp. II, Marlin Leasing Receivables II LLC, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., (successor by merger to Bank One, N.A.), and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association.	3
10.22 ^{(*}	Amended & Restated Series 2002-A Supplement to the Master Facility Agreement, dated as of March 15, 2006, by and among Marlin Leasir Corporation, Marlin Leasing Receivables Corp. II, Marlin Leasing Receivables II LLC, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, N	
10.23	Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Independent Directors.	
10.24(Transition & Release Agreement made as of December 6, 2005 (effective as of December 14, 2005) between Bruce E. Sickel and the Regis	trant.
16.1 ⁽⁸⁾	Letter on Change in Certifying Accountant dated June 27, 2005 from KPMG LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission.	
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Marlin Business Services Corp. required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act 1934, as amended. (Filed herewith)	of
31.2	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer of Marlin Business Services Corp. required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange A 1934, as amended. (Filed herewith)	ct of
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer of Marlin Business Services Corp. required by Rule 13a-14(b) unde Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. (This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchang of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Further, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be incorporated by refere into any filing under the Securities Exchange Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.). (Furnished herewith)	ge Act
t	lanagement contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.	
	reviously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-108) led on September 5, 2003, and incorporated by reference herein.	530),
	reviously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S File No. 333-108530), filed on October 14, 2003, and incorporated by reference herein.	3-1

MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006

- (3) Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on October 28, 2003 (File No. 333-108530), and incorporated by reference herein.
- (4) Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 filed on March 29, 2004, and incorporated by reference herein.
- ⁽⁵⁾ Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated October 7, 2004 filed on October 12, 2004, and incorporated herein by reference.
- ⁽⁶⁾ Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005 filed on May 9, 2005, and incorporated by reference herein.
- ⁽⁷⁾ Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated May 26, 2005 filed on June 2, 2005, and incorporated by reference herein.
- (8) Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated June 24, 2005 filed on June 29, 2005, and incorporated by reference herein.
- ⁽⁹⁾ Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated August 26, 2005 filed on August 26, 2005, and incorporated by reference herein.
- (10) Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated December 14, 2005 and filed on December 14, 2005, and incorporated by reference herein.
- (11) Previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated March 15, 2006 and filed on March 17, 2006, and incorporated by reference herein.

- 30 -

MRLN 10-Q 3/31/2006 Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MARLIN BUSINESS SERVICES CORP.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Daniel P. Dyer Chief Executive Officer

Daniel P. Dyer

(Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

May 8, 2006

- 31 -

Section 2: (RULE 13A-14(A) CERTIFICATION OF CEO)

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Daniel P. Dyer, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Marlin Business Services Corp.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to
 ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities,
 particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ Daniel P. Dyer

Daniel P. Dyer Chief Executive Officer

Section 3: (RULE 13A-14(A) CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER)

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Daniel P. Dyer, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Marlin Business Services Corp.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ Daniel P. Dyer

Daniel P. Dyer Principal Financial Officer Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Marlin Business Services Corp. for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 (the "Quarterly Report"), Daniel P. Dyer, as Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to the best of his knowledge:

(1) The Quarterly Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Marlin Business Services Corp.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ Daniel P. Dyer

Daniel P. Dyer Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer